# BEFORE THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE TO THE

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

**REGULAR MEETING** 

LOCATION: VIA ZOOM

DATE: OCTOBER 11, 2022

2 P.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR

CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2022-37

#### INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION	PAGE	NO.
OPEN SESSION		
1. CALL TO ORDER		3
2. ROLL CALL		3
DISCUSSION ITEMS		
3. UPDATES ON MEDICAL AFFAIRS POLICY AND UPDATES ON PATIENT SUPPORT PROGRA	.M	4
4. PUBLIC COMMENT	NO	NE
5. ADJOURNMENT		25

1	OCTOBER 11, 2022; 2 P.M.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I'M GOING TO CALL THIS
4	TO ORDER. AND WHY DON'T YOU CALL THE ROLL. IF WE
5	DON'T HAVE A QUORUM, WE'LL LEAVE THE ROLL OPEN TILL
6	WE DO. MARIANNE, IF YOU'D CALL THE ROLL, AND WE'LL
7	GET TO AS CLOSE
8	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: I JUST WANT TO
9	MAKE SURE THE RECORDING WAS ON.
10	DAN BERNAL.
11	MR. BERNAL: PRESENT.
12	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ANN BOYNTON.
13	JAMES DE BENEDETTI.
14	MR. DE BENEDETTI: HERE.
15	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DANA DORNSIFE.
16	DAVID GOLDMAN. TED GOLDSTEIN.
17	DR. GOLDSTEIN: HERE.
18	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: DAVID HIGGINS.
19	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
20	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: HARLAN LEVINE.
21	PAT LEVITT. ADRIANA PADILLA.
22	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
23	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AMMAR QADAN.
24	DR. QADAN: PRESENT.
25	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: AL ROWLETT.
	3

	·
1	MR. ROWLETT: PRESENT.
2	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: MAHESWARI
3	SENTHIL. DAVID SERRANO-SEWELL. ADRIENNE SHAPIRO.
4	MS. SHAPIRO: HERE.
5	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: JONATHAN THOMAS.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
7	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ART TORRES.
8	MR. TORRES: HERE. PRESENT.
9	ALL RIGHT. WE'LL LEAVE THE ROLL OPEN
10	UNTIL WE HAVE NEW MEMBERS, AND THEN WE'LL DECLARE
11	THAT WE HAVE A QUORUM. SO I'D LIKE TO PROCEED WITH
12	THE UPDATES ON THE MEDICAL AFFAIRS POLICY. AND,
13	SEAN, TAKE IT AWAY.
14	DR. TURBEVILLE: CERTAINLY. LET ME SHARE
15	THE SLIDES, AND GIVE ME A THUMBS UP IF YOU CAN SEE
16	THESE.
17	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I GOT 'EM.
18	DR. TURBEVILLE: ALL RIGHT. WONDERFUL.
19	WELL, HAPPY TUESDAY, EVERYBODY. THANK YOU. I KNOW
20	EVERYBODY IS INCREDIBLY BUSY. I DON'T ANTICIPATE TO
21	USE THE ENTIRE TIME, BUT WE'LL SEE HOW THIS GOES.
22	COUPLE OF UPDATES. ONE, OF COURSE, WE HAD
23	THE ICOC MEETING LAST WEEK, VERY ENGAGING, RECEIVED
24	A LOT OF COMMENTS. A COUPLE UPDATES. OF COURSE,
25	THE CONCEPT PLAN WAS APPROVED. THERE WERE A COUPLE
	4

1	OF VERY GOOD ASKS THAT WE ARE GOING TO INCORPORATE
2	INTO THE RFP. ONE, OF COURSE, IS ANY APPLICANT THAT
3	RESPONDS WHAT THEIR EXPERIENCE IS WITH THE
4	COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATION, CBO. SO THAT WAS
5	CLEARLY EXPRESSED AS AN INTEREST AT THE ICOC.
6	AND THE OTHER ONE THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT,
7	OF COURSE, IS THE DEI. SO WHAT'S THEIR EXPERIENCE
8	AND THEIR POLICY WITH DEI, AND HOW DOES THAT MATCH
9	OUR CULTURE AND OUR PHILOSOPHY HERE AT CIRM?
10	FROM THE PATIENT SUPPORT SIDE, TODAY I'D
11	ACTUALLY LIKE TO TALK ABOUT SOMETHING DIFFERENT.
12	AND THAT IS WITH RESPECT TO POLICY. SO, ONE, THIS
13	IS AN AREA THAT CERTAINLY HAS BEEN MY AREA OF
14	EXPERTISE, BUT THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION ON
15	ALL THE POLICY THAT'S TAKING PLACE RIGHT NOW, NOT
16	ONLY UP IN CAPITOL HILL, BUT ALSO AT THE STATE
17	LEVEL. AND SO I WAS HOPING FOR THIS PRESENTATION
18	REALLY TO BE ONE OF MANY. AND THAT MEANING THAT
19	THIS WOULD BE SORT OF AN UPDATE, WHAT WE'RE SORT
20	LISTENING TO, WHAT'S OUT THERE WITH RESPECT TO
21	LEGISLATION THAT MAY IMPACT ACCESS AND
22	AFFORDABILITY, PARTICULARLY ON THE CELL AND GENE
23	SIDE.
24	WE ARE NOW ATTENDING RIGHT NOW THE MEETING
25	AT THE MESA. AND THIS MORNING'S PRESENTATION

1	KICKOFF REALLY WAS ABOUT ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY
2	WITH ALL THESE NEW THERAPIES THAT ARE JUST NOW
3	HITTING THE MARKETPLACE.
4	SO, FIRST, LET'S START OFF WITH A LITTLE
5	BIT OF A BACKGROUND. I DO HAVE AN ASK FROM THE
6	TEAM. SO THERE'S NO VOTING THAT'S GOING TO TAKE
7	PLACE TODAY, BUT I DO WANT TO ASK THE TEAM TO
8	PROVIDE US, MYSELF, GEOFF, MEDICAL AFFAIRS TEAM,
9	GUIDANCE ON AREAS THAT WE SHOULD BE FOCUSING ON WITH
10	RESPECT TO RESEARCH AND PERHAPS DOWN THE ROAD AS WE
11	BECOME A LITTLE MORE ROBUST ON THIS SIDE IS PERHAPS
12	A POLICY FOR CIRM IN TERMS OF ACTIVITIES THAT WE
13	WANT TO SUPPORT AND THINGS THAT PERHAPS WE DON'T
14	WANT TO SUPPORT FROM THE PATIENT PERSPECTIVE. SO
15	REALLY NOT A WHOLE LOT OF AN ASK THIS PRESENTATION,
16	BUT MORE ENGAGING THAN ANYTHING HOPEFULLY.
17	SO ALL OF YOU PROBABLY ALREADY KNOW THIS,
18	AND THIS HAS ALREADY CHANGED THIS MORNING, BUT THERE
19	ARE 24 APPROVED CELLULAR AND GENE PRODUCTS RIGHT NOW
20	IN THE MARKETPLACE. AND ACCORDING TO THE
21	PRESENTATION THIS MORNING, THEY ANTICIPATE, THEY
22	BEING THE FDA, ANTICIPATE THAT 10 TO 25 WILL BE
23	APPROVED EVERY YEAR MOVING FORWARD. SO THERE'S 2200
24	ACTIVE CLINICAL TRIALS RIGHT NOW IN CELL AND GENE
25	THERAPY, SEVERAL THERAPEUTIC AREAS, MUCH LIKE OUR

1	PORTFOLIO.
2	AND IF YOU DO A LITERATURE REVIEW, IF YOU
3	WILL, THERE'S SEVERAL FEDERAL, STATE LEGISLATIVE
4	ACTIVITIES THAT WE'RE FOLLOWING HERE AT CIRM THAT
5	COULD IMPACT PATIENTS, PARTICULARLY THE BARRIERS TO
6	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY TO SOME OF THESE THERAPIES.
7	SO, AGAIN, THE PURPOSE OF THIS
8	PRESENTATION IS REALLY JUST TO GIVE YOU A FLAVOR OF
9	WHAT'S OUT THERE, WHAT WE'RE WATCHING, AND THEN GET
LO	SOME FEEDBACK ON WHERE WE NEED TO GO.
L1	WE CONTINUE TO ADDRESS OR AT LEAST PROVIDE
L2	RECOMMENDATIONS, SOME SOLUTIONS TO LOWER THOSE
L3	BARRIERS WITH RESPECT TO ACHIEVING BROAD, EQUITABLE
L4	ACCESS TO REGENERATIVE MEDICINES. YOU'RE GOING TO
L5	SEE THE SLIDE PROBABLY OVER AND OVER AGAIN; AND AS
L6	WE DEVELOP MORE AND MORE PROGRAMS, HOPEFULLY THEY'RE
L7	GOING TO SUPPORT EACH ONE OF THE CONCEPTS.
L8	WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT LEGISLATION, THAT
L9	COULD BE ANOTHER COMPONENT THAT IMPACTS ACCESS AND
20	AFFORDABILITY. SO IF YOU THINK ABOUT IT, NOT IN ANY
21	PARTICULAR ORDER, BUT, FOR EXAMPLE, THERE'S
22	LEGISLATION RIGHT NOW ON TREATMENTS. WHO GETS
23	TREATED? WHERE THEY GET TREATED? WHERE ARE THEY
24	GOING TO BE INFUSED? THE POSTMARKETING COMPONENT.
25	THAT'S AN AREA THAT WE'RE LOOKING INTO RIGHT NOW.

1	ANOTHER AREA IS, AND I THINK THIS IS SORT
2	OF A SLEEPER, IF YOU WILL, IS THE DISTRIBUTION. AND
3	HAVING OBVIOUSLY WORKED ON THE INDUSTRY SIDE, I
4	THINK A LOT OF PEOPLE UNDERAPPRECIATE WHAT A LIFT IT
5	IS TO ACTUALLY DISTRIBUTE DRUGS TO PATIENTS, NOT
6	ONLY JUST IN THE UNITED STATES, BUT OUTSIDE THE
7	UNITED STATES. BUT THERE'S LEGISLATION RIGHT NOW
8	ABOUT WHO WILL HAVE THE BLESSING, IF YOU WILL, TO BE
9	ABLE TO DISTRIBUTE SOME OF THESE APPROVED,
10	COMMERCIALLY APPROVED, PRODUCTS, NOT ONLY IN
11	CALIFORNIA, BUT ALSO OUTSIDE CALIFORNIA. WHAT ARE
12	THOSE DISTRIBUTION PATHWAYS GOING TO LOOK LIKE?
13	YOU WOULD THINK THAT AFTER, AND THERE'S
14	PROBABLY SOME TRUTH TO THIS, BUT AFTER THE PANDEMIC,
15	THE DISTRIBUTION CHANNELS BECAME A LITTLE BIT MORE
16	ROBUST, BUT THE REALITY IS THERE ARE SOME
17	ORGANIZATIONS THAT TRULY WANT TO OWN THE
18	DISTRIBUTION ROUTES. SO THAT'S SOMETHING THAT
19	OBVIOUSLY MAY IMPACT ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY. SO
20	WE ARE KEEPING AN EYE ON THAT AREA OF LEGISLATION.
21	AND, OF COURSE, THE BIG ELEPHANT IN THE
22	ROOM IS THE PRICE AND REIMBURSEMENT. EVERYBODY IS
23	TALKING ABOUT THIS, WHETHER IT'S THOSE VALUE-BASED
24	CONTRACTS, WHICH I GAVE A PRESENTATION ON A COUPLE
25	OF WEEKS AGO. WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE FROM A

1	METHODOLOGICAL STANDPOINT FOR PAYORS, NOT ONLY FOR
2	PRIVATE, BUT ALSO FOR PUBLIC PAYORS? WE'LL TALK
3	ABOUT THAT IN A FEW MINUTES.
4	FINALLY, NOT MY AREA OF EXPERTISE, THIS IS
5	PROBABLY MORE ON SHYAM'S SIDE, BUT MANUFACTURING.
6	THERE'S A LOT OF LEGISLATION RIGHT NOW ON THE
7	MANUFACTURING SIDE FOR CELL AND GENE. OF COURSE,
8	THIS IS REALLY TO INCENTIVIZE POSTPANDEMIC, A LOT OF
9	THE CREATION OF SOME OF THE RAW MATERIALS IN THE
10	UNITED STATES AS OPPOSED TO OUTSIDE THE UNITED
11	STATES. SO LOTS OF AREAS THAT ARE QUITE ACTIVE.
12	SO HERE'S JUST A SNAPSHOT OF THE SELECTED
13	POLICY EXAMPLES. AND GEOFF AND I JUST TOOK A COUPLE
14	OF THESE JUST TO BRING THE TEAM UP TO SPEED. ONE,
15	OF COURSE, THE CALIFORNIA CANCER EQUITY ACT THAT WAS
16	SIGNED IN LAW EXPANDS PATIENT'S ACCESS TO
17	SPECIALIZED CANCER CARE, PARTICULARLY NCI
18	INSTITUTIONS FOR MEDI-CAL PATIENTS. SO THAT'S A BIG
19	WIN.
20	WE'RE ALL FAMILIAR WITH THE INFLATION
21	REDUCTION ACT WAS SIGNED INTO LAW JUST RECENTLY, OF
22	COURSE, BY PRESIDENT BIDEN. AND WHAT'S INTERESTING
23	ABOUT THIS, THERE'S A LOT TO UNCOVER HERE, BUT IT
24	DOES ALLOW MEDICARE TO NEGOTIATE WHAT'S CALLED A
25	BEST PRICE AND PERFORMANCE-BASED CONTRACTS. NOW,

1	THESE ARE SOMEWHAT LIMITED, NOT WHAT YOU WOULD SEE
2	ON THE PRIVATE SIDE, AND STILL WON'T TAKE EFFECT
3	UNTIL 2023 OR 2024. SO CMS IS PUTTING TOGETHER A
4	POWERHOUSE OF A TEAM TO START THINKING THROUGH WHAT
5	THEIR POLICY IS GOING TO BE WITH RESPECT TO
6	VALUE-BASED CONTRACTS.
7	ANOTHER THING THAT'S INTERESTING IS HELP
8	INSURE LOWER PATIENT CO-PAYS. SO THIS HR 5801.
9	THIS IS LEGISLATION THAT'S ATTEMPTING TO ADDRESS THE
10	DIFFERENCE IN MEDICARE'S PAYMENT AMOUNTS BETWEEN
11	INPATIENT AND OUTPATIENT SITES.
12	OTHERS INCLUDE INFECTIOUS DISEASE
13	THERAPIES RESEARCH AND INNOVATION. THERE'S THE MORE
14	CURES ACT, WHICH, AGAIN, INCENTIVIZES COMPANIES BY
15	TAX CREDITS BY MANUFACTURING HERE IN THE UNITED
16	STATES. CMS ALSO HAS SOME ENGAGEMENT RIGHT NOW.
17	THEY HAVE SOME POLICY THAT'S ATTEMPTING TO BE
18	APPROVED. THIS IS REGARDING INPATIENT PAYMENT AND
19	UPDATED NTAP REIMBURSEMENT FOR GENE-EDITED
20	TECHNOLOGIES.
21	HR 6000 IS THE PANDEMIC PREPAREDNESS AND
22	GENETIC TESTING, ANOTHER ONE THAT HAS QUITE A BIT OF
23	INFORMATION. AND THEN, OF COURSE, THERE'S THE
24	AMERICAN MADE MEDICINE ACT, HR 7410, THAT PROVIDES,
25	AGAIN, MORE TAX INCENTIVES FOR COMPANIES TO

1	MANUFACTURE HERE ON OUR HOME SOIL.
2	SO THIS IS JUST A SNAPSHOT. MANY OF YOU
3	ARE PROBABLY MORE FAMILIAR WITH THIS SPACE THAN WE
4	ARE. THERE'S OTHERS OUT THERE. WHAT WE'RE
5	ATTEMPTING TO DO IS REALLY JUST CAST A WIDE NET AND
6	START TO CATEGORIZE THESE IN A WAY THAT WE CAN
7	CONTINUE TO PRESENT TO THE AAWG AND BRING EVERYBODY
8	UP TO SPEED AND FIND AREAS THAT WE THINK MIGHT
9	IMPACT PATIENTS ON THE ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY
10	STANDPOINT.
11	LET ME PAUSE THERE TO SEE IF THERE'S ANY
12	QUESTIONS. SO I TALKED ABOUT PERFORMANCE-BASED
13	AGREEMENTS. THESE ARE INTERESTING. SO AREAS THAT
14	WE CAN CONSIDER, CERTAINLY AAWG AND CIRM IN GENERAL
15	ARE PERFORMANCE-BASED AGREEMENTS. THESE ARE FOR
16	PRIVATE AS WELL AS PUBLIC PAYORS. NOW, WHAT WE ARE
17	OBSERVING IS THAT THEY'RE GENERALLY WELL ACCEPTED
18	RIGHT NOW BY SOME OF THE PAYORS. SOME PAYORS ARE
19	PUSHING BACK. MEDICARE, OF COURSE, AT THIS POINT
20	DOESN'T HAVE A WHOLE LOT OF STATUTORY PUSHBACK RIGHT
21	NOW. SO IT'S REALLY INDUSTRY SAYS, "HERE'S WHAT WE
22	ARE CHARGING, AND THIS IS WHAT IT'S GOING TO COST
23	FOR THE PATIENT" AS OPPOSED TO PRIVATE PAYORS HAVE A
24	LITTLE BIT MORE LEEWAY IN TERMS OF WHAT THOSE
25	NEGOTIATIONS LOOK LIKE.

1	SO JUST TO GIVE YOU AN IDEA OF HOW
2	SOMEWHAT COMPLICATED THIS IS, MANY OF THE CLINICIANS
3	HERE OBVIOUSLY PROBABLY WOULD BE INFUSING SOME OF
4	THESE PATIENTS WITH THESE GENE THERAPIES. INSURANCE
5	COMPANIES AREN'T PUSHING BACK, AT LEAST WHAT WE'RE
6	FINDING, FOR THAT FIRST INFUSION. WHAT THEY WANT
7	MORE CLARITY ON IS ACTUALLY THE SUBSEQUENT
8	FOLLOW-UPS. SO THOSE CONTRACT-BASED PAYMENTS ARE
9	VALUE BASED. IF THAT PATIENT DEMONSTRATES
10	DURABILITY AND EFFICACY AND SAFETY OVER TIME, THAT'S
11	HOW THE ORGANIZATION, THE MANUFACTURER, WILL GET
12	PAID. SO THERE IS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT THAT
13	METHODOLOGY LOOKS LIKE. NOT ALL THE PAYORS ARE IN
14	AGREEMENT IN TERMS OF WHAT THOSE BIOLOGICAL MARKERS
15	ARE, WHETHER THEY'RE VALID, HOW LONG THE PATIENT
16	NEEDS TO BE FOLLOWED UP. SO ALL OF THAT IS STILL IN
17	DISCUSSION, QUITE FRANKLY.
18	I DO THINK WHAT YOU SEE UNIVERSALLY IS
19	THAT PAYORS ARE STEPPING UP TO THE PLATE AND
20	CERTAINLY STARTING THAT FIRST INFUSION. THE
21	SUBSEQUENT FOLLOW-UP WITH REGARDS TO PAYMENT
22	THEREAFTER IS STILL SORT UP FOR DISCUSSION.
23	ANOTHER THING THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO
24	IMPACT IS THE ACTUAL FORMULARY REVIEWS. SO LET'S
25	SAY AMCP DOSSIER, SO THAT GOES OUT TO 80 OR 90

1	PAYORS RIGHT OUT OF THE GATE ONCE A DRUG IS
2	APPROVED. AND THOSE DOSSIERS TAKE TIME TO REVIEW BY
3	A PT COMMITTEE, WHETHER IT'S GET MEDICARE, STATE
4	LEVEL, OR EVEN PRIVATE. THE GENE THERAPY SEEMS TO
5	BE TAKING A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME. I DON'T KNOW IF
6	THAT'S SIMPLY BECAUSE IT'S A NEW STATE OF SCIENCE
7	FOR PT COMMITTEES, THEY'RE A LITTLE MORE CAUTIOUS,
8	OR THEY WANT MORE INFORMATION COMPARED TO A
9	TRADITIONAL SORT OF THERAPEUTIC. SO MAYBE THERE'S
10	WAYS THAT WE, CIRM, CAN HELP IN TERMS OF, I DON'T
11	KNOW, MAYBE FROM A PAYOR STANDPOINT OR EDUCATIONAL
12	STANDPOINT REDUCE THE TIME FOR THESE FORMULARY
13	REVIEWS.
14	ANOTHER CONCERN IS THAT MANY PATIENTS WILL
15	REQUIRE THERAPY ACROSS STATE LINES. THIS IS
16	FASCINATING. SO WE JUST TALKED ABOUT THIS AT THE
17	MEETING ON THE MESA THIS MORNING, AND THIS SEEMS TO
18	BE A CONCERN TO SOME OF THE ORGANIZATIONS, THAT
19	WHERE THE PATIENT IS GOING TO BE TREATED. THEY
20	THINK THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME STATES THAT WILL BE
21	DISPROPORTIONATELY TREATING MORE PATIENTS THAN
22	OTHERS, CERTAIN CENTERS OF EXCELLENCE. QUESTIONS
23	ABOUT, WELL, WILL SATELLITE INSTITUTIONS BE ABLE TO
23 24	ABOUT, WELL, WILL SATELLITE INSTITUTIONS BE ABLE TO  INFUSE SOME OF THESE THERAPIES? THAT'S ALL OPEN FOR

1	RIGHT NOW WITH RESPECT TO SOME POTENTIAL
2	LEGISLATION.
3	THERE'S ALWAYS CONCERN ABOUT DIFFERENTIAL
4	REIMBURSEMENT FOR OUTPATIENT VERSUS INPATIENT, WHICH
5	IS IMPACTING PATIENT COPAYS. ONE OF THE QUESTIONS
6	THAT GEOFF AND I WERE KIND OF FLOATING AROUND WAS
7	WILL CALIFORNIA REQUIRE ACCREDITATION FOR HOSPITALS,
8	EITHER LIMITING GENE THERAPY ADMINISTRATION TO
9	CERTAIN SITES OR PERHAPS OPENING IT UP TO MORE
10	FACILITIES, AND THEREBY MORE PATIENTS WILL HAVE
11	ACCESS.
12	THIS ALSO PLAYS INTO DISTRIBUTION. I AM
13	AWARE OF SOME DISTRIBUTION LANGUAGE RIGHT NOW THAT'S
14	TRYING TO BE PASSED IN THE STATE THAT WOULD LIMIT
15	THE DISTRIBUTION TO A NUMBER OF DISTRIBUTORS, JUST
16	IN CALIFORNIA.
17	SO THESE ARE ALL THE THINGS TO BRING TO
18	THE AAWG'S ATTENTION. I CERTAINLY DON'T HAVE THE
19	ANSWERS TO ALL THESE, BUT HOPEFULLY THROUGH THIS
20	DISCUSSION, WE CAN AT LEAST GET SOME GUIDANCE FROM
21	THE TEAM IN TERMS OF WHAT WE SHOULD BE FOLLOWING AND
22	ADDITIONAL INTEL AND RESEARCH WE SHOULD BE ENGAGED
23	IN.
24	SO HERE ARE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THAT
25	MAYBE I COULD POSE TO THE AAWG. ONE IS HOW CAN WE

1	FURTHER ASSIST CANCER PATIENTS UNDER CALIFORNIA'S
2	CANCER CARE EQUITY ACT? AND LET ME PAUSE THERE TO
3	SEE IF THERE'S ANY SORT OF RESPONSE TO THIS THAT
4	MIGHT PROVIDE AT LEAST GEOFF AND I AND MEDICAL
5	AFFAIRS SOME GUIDANCE.
6	DR. GOLDSTEIN: SO QUESTION. MOST CANCER
7	CARE IS DELIVERED IN THE INFUSION SETTING IN CLINICS
8	THAT ARE TYPICALLY NEAR, BUT NOT NECESSARILY
9	ADJACENT TO EMERGENCY ROOMS. THERE ARE
10	COMPLICATIONS THAT OCCUR, AND SO SOMEONE MAY BUT
11	MOST PEOPLE IN POVERTY GET THEIR CARE IN EMERGENCY
12	ROOMS. AND SO ONE QUESTION I HAVE IS HOW DO WE
13	MANAGE PATIENTS WHOSE PRIMARY SOURCE OF CARE IS NOT
14	A REGULAR DOCTOR, BUT IS IN AN EMERGENT SITUATION?
15	AND THINKING OF THIS BOTH IN TERMS OF
16	EQUITY UNDER THE CCC, BUT ALSO FOR NONCANCER
17	DISEASES, SUCH AS AGE IS CATCHING UP TO ME
18	SICKLE CELL DISEASE. AND MOST IMPOVERISHED PATIENTS
19	RECEIVE THEIR CARE IN THE EMERGENCY ROOM SETTING.
20	SO ONE QUESTION IS CAN WE FACILITATE CARE IN THE ER?
21	DR. TURBEVILLE: GOOD. THAT'S HELPFUL.
22	ANY OTHER COMMENTS? MARIANNE, I DON'T SEE ANY.
23	OKAY. GOOD.
24	WELL, ANOTHER QUESTION GEOFF AND I BROUGHT
25	UP WAS HOW DOES CMS REGULATIONS AUTHORIZING ACCESS

1	AND REIMBURSEMENT FOR CLINICAL TRIALS PROVIDE ACCESS
2	TO CELL AND GENE THERAPIES? ANY COLLEAGUES HAVE ANY
3	RESPONSE TO THAT QUESTION? WE'LL COME BACK TO THAT
4	ONE.
5	ANOTHER ONE WAS HOW CAN THE ALPHA CLINICS
6	NETWORK STREAMLINE ACCESS AND ASSIST PATIENTS WITH
7	THE REIMBURSEMENT IN THIS EVOLVING POLICY LANDSCAPE?
8	MR. TORRES: I THINK THE RESPONSE TO THAT
9	ALSO IS GOING TO DETERMINE JUST AND I KNOW I'VE
10	TRIED TO PUT YOU IN TOUCH WITH ASSEMBLYMAN WOODS'
11	OFFICE IN RESPECT TO THE AFFORDABILITY OFFICE THAT'S
12	GOING TO START TO BE EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1. I THINK
13	THAT INTERACTION WITH OURS AND THEM IS GOING TO BE
14	VERY CRUCIAL TO LOOKING AT A LOT OF THESE ANSWERS.
15	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH, ART. IN TERMS OF
16	MEDI-CAL, IS HARLAN ON THE CALL? I DON'T THINK HE'S
17	ON THE CALL. I'D LIKE TO GET A LOT OF INSIGHT FROM
18	HIM ON HOW AND GEOFF WAS TALKING ABOUT THIS
19	EARLIER ABOUT WE UNDERSTAND WHAT'S GOING ON OUT
20	THERE ON THE HILL, AND THERE'S ONLY SO MUCH WE CAN
21	DO. WE CAN JUST FOLLOW IT AND PROVIDE GUIDANCE FROM
22	OUR EXPERIENCE. IN THE STATE, OUR STATE, I'D LIKE
23	TO SEE IF IT'S POSSIBLE WE CAN GET MORE ENGAGED WITH
24	MEDICAID, IF POSSIBLE.
25	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YOU MEAN MEDI-CAL.
	16

1	DR. TURBEVILLE: SAY AGAIN.
2	CHAIRMAN TORRES: MEDI-CAL IN OUR STATE.
3	DR. TURBEVILLE: CORRECT, MEDI-CAL.
4	SORRY. I DON'T HAVE THOSE CONNECTIONS, BUT
5	CERTAINLY WOULD LOVE TO GET AN OPPORTUNITY TO
6	INTERACT WITH THEM AND DISCUSS SOME COLLABORATIONS,
7	IF POSSIBLE.
8	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I THINK THE FIRST STEP
9	IS TO MAKE SURE YOU FOLLOW UP WITH ASSEMBLYMAN
10	WOODS' OFFICE AND SEE WHAT THEY'VE BEEN DOING UP TO
11	THIS POINT. AND I CAN CERTAINLY HOOK YOU UP WITH
12	HEAD OF MEDI-CAL WHO SECRETARY OF HHS GAVE ME, MARK
13	KELLY, A FEW WEEKS AGO. WE'LL FOLLOW UP. IT'S JUST
14	A MATTER OF DETERMINING JUST WHAT THE QUESTIONS ARE
15	SO WE MAKE THE BEST USE OF THEIR TIME AND OURS.
16	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. OKAY. GEOFF AND I
17	WILL THINK ABOUT THAT. THANK YOU, SENATOR.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I'LL WAIT TO HEAR FROM
19	YOU GUYS.
20	DR. TURBEVILLE: GOOD. SO MOVING
21	FORWARD
22	DR. PADILLA: I HAD A COMMENT. BECAUSE
23	I'M A FAMILY PHYSICIAN, SO ANY MEDICATION THAT
24	DOESN'T GET REIMBURSED OR IS INVESTIGATIONAL, FOR
25	THAT MATTER, NEEDS TO GO THROUGH A PERSON'S

1	HEALTHCARE INSURANCE, WHETHER THAT BE MEDI-CAL,
2	MEDICARE PART D, PRIVATE INSURANCE, WHATEVER
3	BASICALLY. ONCE THAT GETS DENIED, THEN THERE'S A
4	PROCESS FOR AUTHORIZATIONS, AND THEN IT GOES INTO
5	NEGOTIATIONS ABOUT WHO PAYS WHAT.
6	SO I THINK THERE'S GOT TO BE A LOT OF
7	DISCUSSION OF HOW INSURANCE COMPANIES ARE GOING TO
8	TAKE THIS ON BECAUSE IT'S GOING TO GO THROUGH
9	INSURANCE COMPANIES TO BEGIN WITH. IT DOESN'T
10	MATTER. THE ONLY FREEFALL IS GOING TO BE PEOPLE WHO
11	HAVE NO INSURANCE BASICALLY. AND THEN THERE'S GOING
12	TO BE A COST, AND THAT NEEDS TO BE DECIDED HOW
13	THAT'S GOING TO BE REIMBURSED, WHETHER IT'S GOING TO
14	GO THROUGH THE ACTUAL RESEARCH PROJECT THEMSELVES,
15	OR IS IT GOING TO GO THROUGH A CENTRAL WORKING
16	STATION THAT YOU'RE DEVELOPING OR WHATNOT.
17	DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. THAT'S HELPFUL.
18	LET US FOLLOW UP ON THAT. THAT MIGHT BE AN ACTION
19	ITEM WE CAN EXPLORE FURTHER. THANK YOU.
20	DR. LOMAX: I JUST WANTED TO ADD, BECAUSE
21	I KNOW PART OF THE RATIONALE ON THAT PREVIOUS LIST
22	OF QUESTIONS WAS, A, TO SORT OF POTENTIALLY SOLICIT
23	FEEDBACK; BUT, B, TO KIND OF POINT TO THE WORKING
24	GROUP THAT THESE ARE THINGS THAT WE'VE ACTUALLY KIND
25	OF KEYED IN ON AND WE THINK ARE IMPORTANT QUESTIONS

1	TO CONTINUE TO PURSUE. SO I JUST WANTED TO KIND OF
2	PUT THAT OUT THERE IN CASE THERE WAS SOME SENSE THAT
3	WE ARE ON THE WRONG TRACK.
4	BUT PARTICULARLY THIS INTERACTION BETWEEN
5	THE MEDICAID AUTHORIZATION THAT'S ALLOWING FOR
6	CLINICAL TRIAL COSTS TO BE COVERED BECAUSE THAT
7	ACTUALLY CAN POTENTIALLY IMPACT OUT-OF-STATE
8	PATIENTS COMING TO CALIFORNIA AS WELL, AND THEN SOME
9	OF THE MORE RECENT THINGS IN CALIFORNIA,
10	PARTICULARLY THE CANCER CARE ACT. SO THERE SEEMS TO
11	BE A KIND OF NEXUS THERE OF A STRONG LANE FOR MAKING
12	SURE WE CAN REALLY UNDERSTAND AND BRING PEOPLE IN
13	ALONG THIS SORT OF CLINICAL TRIAL PATHWAY, AND THEN
14	CONSIDERING THE PUBLIC PAYOR REIMBURSEMENT ASPECTS
15	OF THAT. AGAIN, MAKING SURE THAT IF WE CHOOSE TO
16	SPEND OUR TIME LOOKING AT THAT, THE WORKING GROUP
17	BELIEVES WE ARE ON THE RIGHT TRACK. SO I JUST
18	WANTED TO ADD THAT.
19	DR. TURBEVILLE: GOOD CLARIFICATION.
20	THANK YOU.
21	DAN.
22	MR. BERNAL: REGARDING THE SECOND QUESTION
23	ABOUT CMS, I'M NOT DEEPLY FAMILIAR WITH SOME OF
24	THESE ISSUES, BUT I DO KNOW THAT THERE IS A NEW
25	REIMBURSEMENT METHOD THAT WAS PUT IN PLACE BY CMS

1	FOR CAR-T TREATMENTS. AND THERE ARE SOME QUESTIONS
2	ABOUT WHAT THE IMPLICATIONS WOULD BE FOR SOME
3	PIPELINE CELL AND GENE THERAPIES THAT HAVE HIGH
4	UPFRONT COSTS. I'M NOT SURE THERE'S ANYTHING THAT'S
5	HAPPENED SINCE THEN. THESE WENT INTO EFFECT IN
6	2021, BUT I CAN SEND YOU AN ARTICLE ABOUT THAT IF
7	YOU WANT.
8	DR. TURBEVILLE: THAT WOULD BE GREAT.
9	THANK YOU. I DON'T SEE ANY OTHER COMMENTS.
10	MR. ROWLETT: I HAVE A COMMENT. IF YOU'RE
11	INTERESTED, THERE IS A POPULATION HEALTH MANAGEMENT
12	GROUP THAT THE STATE HAS ADVANCED IN RESPONSE TO THE
13	IMPLEMENTATION OF CAL-AIM THAT'S BEEN IN EXISTENCE
14	FOR A BIT. AND THOSE OF US WHO HAVE BEEN ASKED TO
15	PARTICIPATE ON THAT, WE'RE LOOKING SPECIFICALLY AT
16	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY FOR MEDI-CAL PATIENTS.
17	THERE'S SOME SIGNIFICANT LEADERSHIP THERE, NOT DR.
18	GULLY OR ANY OF THOSE FOLKS, BUT INDIVIDUALS WHO
19	MIGHT BE ABLE TO PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME INSIGHT
20	AROUND SPECIFICALLY HOW THERE MAY BE AREAS OF
21	COMMONALITY BETWEEN WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DO AND
22	WHAT CAL-AIM IS DESIGNED TO ACCOMPLISH IN TERMS OF
23	ACCESS AND AFFORDABILITY FOR MEDI-CAL PATIENTS.
24	AND SO IF THAT RESOURCE IS HELPFUL FOR
25	YOU, I'LL PROVIDE YOU WITH SOME INFORMATION, AND YOU

1	CAN REACH OUT TO THOSE FOLKS.
2	DR. TURBEVILLE: YEAH. THAT WOULD BE VERY
3	HELPFUL. THANK YOU.
4	ALL RIGHT. I DON'T SEE ANYBODY ELSE. HOW
5	ABOUT THIS? THIS IS SOMETHING THAT GEOFF AND I
6	THOUGHT ABOUT DOING, AND MOVING FORWARD, WE'D LIKE
7	TO START PRODUCING A DASHBOARD OF ALL THE POLICY AND
8	LEGISLATION THAT'S TAKEN PLACE. WE'D LIKE TO BE
9	ABLE TO PUT THAT INTO OBVIOUSLY A PRESENTATION,
10	MAYBE ON A MONTHLY BASIS, MAYBE EVERY SIX WEEKS TO
11	ON THE AAWG, GETTING EVERYBODY UP TO SPEED WHERE THE
12	STATUS OF MANY OF THE BILLS ARE, WHAT THEIR IMPACT
13	FACTOR IS FOR PATIENTS, FOR PAYORS, ET CETERA. SO
14	WE WOULD BE LOOKING AT NOT ONLY LEGISLATIVE BILLS,
15	POLICY BRIEFS, PROFESSIONAL SOCIETY GUIDELINES, AND
16	REPORTS, PUBLISHED LITERATURE, STATE AND FEDERAL
17	GUIDANCE DOCUMENTS. AND IF YOU CAN THINK OF
18	ANYTHING ELSE THAT WE COULD PERHAPS ADD TO THIS
19	DASHBOARD, FEEL FREE TO GIVE US RECOMMENDATION TODAY
20	OR PERHAPS SEND US AN EMAIL.
21	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I'LL GIVE YOU ONE RIGHT
22	NOW. U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISIONS BECAUSE THERE'S
23	ONE COMING DOWN THE ROAD IN OCTOBER OF THIS YEAR
24	THAT THE COURT IS GOING TO HEAR, WHICH IS THE
25	UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AND HARVARD BEING SUED

1	BY STUDENTS FOR ADMISSION STANDARDS, WHICH DEALS
2	DIRECTLY WITH EQUITY DISTRIBUTION AND MAY HAVE AN
3	IMPACT ON HOW WE CONDUCT OUR DES SURVEYS.
4	DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. TAKING NOTES.
5	THAT'S GOOD.
6	BUT TO THE TEAM, DOES THAT SEEM REASONABLE
7	EVERY MONTH, MAYBE SIX WEEKS WE'LL BE ABLE TO DO A
8	DEEP DIVE ON EVERYTHING THAT'S GOING ON OUT THERE
9	AND IMPACTING OUR PATIENTS, IMPACTING ACCESS AND
10	AFFORDABILITY? MAYBE I COULD ASK FOR A THUMBS UP,
11	NOT NECESSARILY IF THAT SEEMS REASONABLE.
12	CHAIRMAN TORRES: WE WON'T HAVE ANYTHING
13	AVAILABLE ON LEGISLATIVE BILLS AND ISSUES UNTIL
14	JANUARY 1, IF THEN, AND THAT WILL BE JUST FOR
15	INTRODUCTION OF BILLS PURPOSES, NOT ANY UPDATES ON
16	WHERE THEY'RE MOVING.
17	DR. TURBEVILLE: OKAY. GOOD.
18	THAT IS ALL I HAD TO UPDATE TODAY. I
19	THINK WE HAVE A COUPLE QUESTIONS, BUT CERTAINLY ANY
20	MORE COMMENTS, SUGGESTIONS, WE ARE ACCEPTING
21	EVERYTHING.
22	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU, GEOFF, AND
23	THANK YOU, SEAN, FOR KEEPING ME IN THE LOOP WITH
24	YOUR EMAILS BECAUSE THEY'RE VERY INFORMATIVE. AND I
25	DO SOME FOLLOW-UP AS WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO ON THE

1	FEDERAL LEVEL, INCLUDING ON THE STATE LEVEL.
2	IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT? MARIANNE, DO
3	YOU SEE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
4	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: ADRIENNE SHAPIRO
5	HAD HER HAND UP.
6	MS. SHAPIRO: I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHERE
7	THIS FITS IN, BUT RIGHT NOW WE'VE JUST RECEIVED WORD
8	THAT ICER IS GOING TO BE CONDUCTING THEIR REVIEW FOR
9	STEM CELL FOR SICKLE CELL. AND WE WENT THROUGH THIS
10	PROCESS WITH THEM ON TRYING TO GET OUR TREATMENT,
11	AND EVERYBODY SAID DON'T THINK ABOUT IT, DON'T WORRY
12	ABOUT IT. IT'S NOT GOING TO REALLY AFFECT THINGS
13	WHEN WE ARE CONSTANTLY HEARING FROM PAYORS WHERE
14	THEY'RE FIGHTING THE ICER REVIEW AS FAR AS THE
15	TREATMENTS BEING COST-EFFECTIVE.
16	I DON'T KNOW IF WE WANT THEM ON OUR RADAR,
17	BUT IT IS SOMETHING THAT REALLY DOES IMPACT THE
18	COMMUNITY. AND I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHERE IT NEEDS
19	TO BE, BUT WE ARE ONE OF THE FIRST. AND WE ARE VERY
20	TRANSPARENT ABOUT OUR DEALINGS WITH THEM AND
21	EVERYTHING, BUT I THINK WE NEED TO SOMEHOW KEEP AN
22	EYE ON THEM BECAUSE IT KEEPS COMING UP AND THE WHOLE
23	CONCEPT OF QUALS WHICH YOU WILL SEE CRAWLING THROUGH
24	MANY STATE LEGISLATOR'S DOCKETS WHERE THEY'RE
25	TALKING ABOUT THAT SORT OF THING.
	22

1	SO, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW IF THAT FITS IN
2	UNDER OUR MANDATE. IT'S JUST SOMETHING THAT I KNOW
3	THAT WE, AS A DISEASE COMMUNITY, HAVE TO BE AWARE
4	OF.
5	CHAIRMAN TORRES: THANK YOU. JUST KEEP US
6	IN THE LOOP SO THAT WE'RE ON TOP OF IT FROM OUR END
7	AS WELL.
8	ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE
9	WORKING GROUP? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
10	MS. DEQUINA-VILLABLANCA: TED HAS HIS HAND
11	UP.
12	CHAIRMAN TORRES: I DIDN'T SEE YOU, TED.
13	GO AHEAD.
14	DR. GOLDSTEIN: SO PROBABLY NOT IN
15	LEGISLATIVE MATTERS, BUT IT WOULD, I THINK, BE GREAT
16	TO HAVE A DASHBOARD OF LEADING THERAPIES AND WHERE
17	THEY ARE.
18	CHAIRMAN TORRES: AH.
19	DR. GOLDSTEIN: JUST TO TRACK WHERE THEY
20	ARE SO THAT WE KNOW IN PROGRESS, PASSED, BEING
21	DEPLOYED, HOW MANY PATIENTS. WE NEED TO, I THINK,
22	CLOSELY WATCH THE FIRST DOZEN OR SO.
23	CHAIRMAN TORRES: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT
24	THERAPIES THAT ARE FUNDED BY CIRM?
25	DR. GOLDSTEIN: CIRM THERAPIES.
	2.4

1	CHAIRMAN TORRES: GOOD.
2	ANY OTHER COMMENTS? THANK YOU, SEAN.
3	THANK YOU, GEOFF. THANK YOU, MARIANNE. AND THANK
4	YOU, MEMBERS OF THE WORKING GROUP. WE'LL BE IN
5	TOUCH AND CONTINUE TO HOPEFULLY RECEIVE YOUR INPUT
6	WHENEVER IT COMES TO YOUR ATTENTION AND BRING IT TO
7	MY ATTENTION. HAVE A GOOD WEEKEND, AND WE'LL TALK
8	TO YOU SOON.
9	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT 2:37
10	P.M.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	25

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE VIRTUAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE ACCESSIBILITY AND AFFORDABILITY WORKING GROUP OF THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON OCTOBER 11, 2022, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 920-3543